

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	•	•	. 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/921,250	08/29/97	INOUE	Υ	970813
	•	IM22/0124		EXAMINER
ARMSTRONG UNAUGHTON	WESTERMAN HA	TTORI MCLELAND &	GOUDRI	EAU, G PAPER NUMBER
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON	4.		1765 DATE MAILED:	
				01/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	68-921250 In one et, al, Examiner Group Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	George Goudreau 1765			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—			
1				
Period for Response A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE			
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status (), / o d	# (-I)			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on (11/99	10/2/999 (le, papers 6-1)			
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disp siti n of Claims				
V Claim(s) 1-30	ie/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s) 29-30	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
V Claim(s) 1-4, 9-16, 20-27	is/are rejected.			
X Claim(s) 5-8,17-19,28	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Applicati n Papers				
$\square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	med to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(0).			
All. □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been			
received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	per)			
received in this national stage application from the Int	remational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:	•			
Attachm nt/s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I	No(s). 2, 7 ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48			
Onic	ce Action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Art Unit: 1765

15. Claims 9, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

-In claims 9, and 20, the wording "than 30 of purified water" is confusing, and should be rewritten.

- 16. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 17. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 18. Claims 1, 15-16, 22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu et. al. (5,314,843).

Yu et. al. disclose a process for planarizing an SiO2 insulating film on the surface of a semiconductor wafer which is comprised of the following steps:

Serial Number: 08/921,250

Art Unit: 1765

-First, a TEOS type SiO2 film (46) is conformably formed on the surface of a Si semiconductor wafer (41) having multiple layers of insulators, and conductors (42) on its surface.

-Second, B, and P ions are ion implanted selectively into a top portion of the SiO2 layer (46) to form a BPSG region (44) in the surface of the SiO2 layer (46).; and -Third, the BPSG, and SiO2 layers are cmp planarized using a slurry comprised of (KOH,

H2O, and silica abrasive particles).

This is discussed specifically in columns 6-8; and discussed in general in columns 1-12.

This is shown specifically in figures 5-7; and shown in general in figures 1-4, 8-10.

19. Claims 1-3, 11, 13-16, 22, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shepard (5,616,513).

Shepard discloses a process for forming a STI structure in a Si wafer which is comprised of the following steps:

- -First, trenches are etched into the surface of a Si wafer using a patterned photo resist/ Si3N4/SiO2 multi-layered structure as an etch mask.;
- -Second, a SiO2 layer is conformably deposited into trenches formed in the surface of a Si wafer, and onto the surface of the Si3N4/SiO2 multi-layered structure located outside the trenches.
- -Third, P ions are ion implanted into the top surface of the SiO2 layer.;

Serial Number: 08/921,250

Art Unit: 1765

-Fourth, a SiO2 layer is conformably deposited onto the surface of the P doped SiO2 layer; and

-Fifth, the SiO2, and P-doped SiO2 layers are cmp polished down to the surface of the Si3N4 layer.

This is discussed specifically in columns 3-5; and discussed in general in columns 1-6.

This is shown specifically in figures 4A-4C; and shown in general in figures 1-3, 5.

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 20-21, 23, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied in paragraph 18 above.

The reference as applied in paragraph 18 above fail to specifically disclose the following aspects of applicant's claimed invention:

- -the formation of an insulating film with the specific wetting properties claimed by the applicant;
- -the use of an SOG precursor to form the SiO2 layer out of in place of the TEOS precursor used to form the SiO2 layer out of, and
- -the use of a surfactant of the type claimed by the applicant (IE.- a fatty acid surfactant) in the cmp slurry used to planarize the BPSG/SiO2 layers in the process taught above

Art Unit: 1765

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace the SiO2 layer formed from a TEOS precursor in the process taught above with a SiO2 layer formed from an SOG precursor based upon the following. First, this would simply represent an alternative, and at least equivalent means for forming the SiO2 layer in the process taught above to those means specifically taught. Second, the usage of a SOG precursor to form a SiO2 layer on a semiconductor wafer is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a surfactant of the type claimed by the applicant (IE.-a fatty acid surfactant) in the cmp polishing slurry used to planarize the BPSG/SiO2 layers in the process taught above based upon the following. The usage of surfactants in cmp slurries used to planarize layers on a semiconductor substrate is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use any of a variety of different types of surfactants in the cmp slurry taught above including fatty acid type surfactants based upon the following. The usage of fatty acid surfactants in cmp slurries used to planarize layers on a semiconductor wafer is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.)

It would have been prima facie obvious to form insulating layers on the semiconductor wafer in the process taught above which have any of a variety of different wetting angles including those claimed by the applicant. These are all well known variables in semiconductor fabrication

Art Unit: 1765

arts which are known to effect both the properties of the fabricated device. Further, the selection of particular values for these variables would not necessitate any undo experimentation which would be indicative of a showing of unexpected results.

Claims 4, 9-10, 12, 20-21, 23, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied in paragraph 19 above.

The reference as applied in paragraph 19 above fail to specifically disclose the following aspects of applicant's claimed invention:

- -the formation of an insulating film with the specific wetting properties claimed by the applicant;
- -the use of an SOG precursor to form the SiO2 layer out of in place of the TEOS precursor used to form the SiO2 layer out of;
- -the use of a surfactant of the type claimed by the applicant (IE a fatty acid surfactant) in the cmp slurry used to planarize the BPSG/SiO2 layers in the process taught above; and -the usage of a CVD deposition process to deposit the SiO2 layers onto the wafer in the process taught above

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a CVD process to form any of the SiO2 layers in the process taught above using a TEOS precursor based upon the following.

The usage of CVD processes to form SiO2 layers on a semiconductor wafer from a TEOS precursor is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.)

Serial Number: 08/921,250

Art Unit: 1765

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace the SiO2 layer formed from a TEOS precursor in the process taught above with a SiO2 layer formed from an SOG precursor based upon the following. First, this would simply represent an alternative, and at least equivalent means for forming the SiO2 layer in the process taught above to those means specifically taught. Second, the usage of a SOG precursor to form a SiO2 layer on a semiconductor wafer is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a surfactant of the type claimed by the applicant (IE.-a fatty acid surfactant) in the cmp polishing slurry used to planarize the BPSG/SiO2 layers in the process taught above based upon the following. The usage of surfactants in cmp slurries used to planarize layers on a semiconductor substrate is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use any of a variety of different types of surfactants in the cmp slurry taught above including fatty acid type surfactants based upon the following. The usage of fatty acid surfactants in cmp slurries used to planarize layers on a semiconductor wafer is conventional or at least well known in the semiconductor processing arts. (The examiner takes official notice in this regard.)

It would have been prima facie obvious to form insulating layers on the semiconductor wafer in the process taught above which have any of a variety of different wetting angles including those claimed by the applicant. These are all well known variables in semiconductor fabrication

Art Unit: 1765

arts which are known to effect both the properties of the fabricated device. Further, the selection of particular values for these variables would not necessitate any undo experimentation which would be indicative of a showing of unexpected results.

23. Claims 5-8, 17-19, and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau whose telephone number is (703) -308-1915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Examiner Benjamin Utech, can be reached on (703) -308-3836. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -308-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-0661.

George A. Goudreau/gag

Examiner AU 1765

THI DANG RIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1700